

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

DAVID PUTZER,)	3:07-cv-00620-LRH-VPC
)	
Plaintiff,)	<u>ORDER</u>
)	
vs.)	
)	
JAMES DONNELLY, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Before the court is Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal/Motion to Reconsider (#101¹) Judge Cooke's rulings on items 3 and 10 of the March 26, 2009, Minutes of Proceedings (#98). Defendants filed their Response to Motion to Reconsider/Motion to Strike (#106).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's opposition, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#98) will, therefore, be sustained. Plaintiff's motion (#101) is denied and Defendants' Motion to Strike (#106) is denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 10th day of August, 2009.



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹Refers to this court's docket number.